UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/595,257	03/31/2006	Hubert de Villiers Barnard	BARH0101PUSA	6003
22045 BROOKS KUS	7590 05/21/2007 SHMAN P.C	,	EXAMINER	
BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C. 1000 TOWN CENTER			SCHWARTZ, CHRISTOPHER P	
TWENTY-SEC	COND FLOOR D. MI 48075		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3683	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/21/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/595,257	BARNARD, HUBERT DE VILLIERS				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Christopher P. Schwartz	3683				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAL	ATION. ly be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•					
	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allows						
closed in accordance with the practice under	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application	•					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ction is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached (Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received in App	olication No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri		eceived in this National Stage				
application from the International Bures						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not re	ceived _.				
Attachment(c)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sur	nmary (PTO-413)				
2) Description Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/I	Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Info 6) Other:	rmal Patent Application .				

Application/Control Number: 10/595,257

Art Unit: 3683

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement has been received and considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over German publication 321 in view of U.S. patent to (Enoch) Hagerman et al. '631.

Regarding claims 1-9 the German publication discloses a brake mechanism 4a and a locking mechanism at 10.

Although it appears elements 11 and 12 in the different embodiments may be a sensor to sense the directional movement of the roller 1 this is unclear.

Enoch is relied upon to show it is known to use a sensor mechanism 108 to activate the braking mechanism 86 (see figure 11).

It would have been obvious to have employed such a sensor arrangement in German '321 to sense the directional movement of the belt to activate the brake. Such an arrangement would amount to a known obvious alternative method of activation of the brakes.

4. Claims 1-9 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hagerman et al in view of DE '321.

Application/Control Number: 10/595,257

Art Unit: 3683

Regarding claims 1-9 Hagerman et al. shows a braking arrangement that uses a wedge member to brake the belt. In figure 11 Hagerman et al. shows a sensor . arrangement 98 and 108 to activate the brake.

Lacking in Hagerman are the specifics of a sensor arrangement that senses the directional rotation of the roller to activate the brake.

However, DE '321 appears to teach this at 11 and 12.

To have applied these teachings of DE '321 to that of Hagerman et al. would have amounted to an obvious alternative sensor arrangement to apply the brake to the conveyor belt. Both references are directed to the same conveyor belt braking concept.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher P. Schwartz whose telephone number is 571-272-7123. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10:30-7:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jim McClellan can be reached on 571-272-6786. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

, application, control ita

Art Unit: 3683

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Cps 5/13/07